

Amendment under 37 C.F.R §1.116  
Application No. 10/541,939  
Attorney Docket No. 052740

## **REMARKS**

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants gratefully acknowledge that claim 11 is allowed. Claim 11 has been amended to make it consistent and into a better form because claim 11 has a phrase “using stretch tapes” in its preamble.

### **Election/Restriction**

Applicants gratefully acknowledge that the restriction requirement has been withdrawn as a result of the reply filed on March 2, 2007. Thus, claims 8-19 have been considered in the examination.

### **Objection to IDS**

**The information disclosure statement filed on July 12, 2005 was objected to because copies of foreign patent documents were submitted.**

Accordingly, supplemental information disclosure statement with the listed documents and an English translation of the documents or abstract are attached hereto.

### **Objection to Specification**

**The specification was objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the subject matter claimed in Claims 10 and 19.**

Amendment under 37 C.F.R §1.116  
Application No. 10/541,939  
Attorney Docket No. 052740

Accordingly, claims 10 and 19 has been cancelled. Thus, the objection has become moot.

**Rejections under 35 USC §112, First Paragraph**

**Claims 10 and 19 were rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.**

Accordingly, claims 10 and 19 have been cancelled. Thus, the rejection has become moot.

**Rejections under 35 USC §103(a)**

**Claims 8-10, 12 and 16-19 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Berke (U.S. Patent No. 5,976,173) in view of Krantz (U.S. Patent No. 5,336,219).**

As mentioned above claims 10 and 19 have been cancelled.

Claims 8, 9, 12 and 16-18 all have been amended to depend from allowed claim 11. Thus, claims 8, 9, 12 and 16-18 have become in condition for allowance.

**Claim 13 was rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunshee (U.S. Patent No.7,066,182) in view of Rangel et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0082897).**

Amendment under 37 C.F.R §1.116  
Application No. 10/541,939  
Attorney Docket No. 052740

Claim 13 has been amended to depend from allowed claim 11. Thus, claim 13 also is in condition for allowance.

**Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunshee (U.S. Patent No.7,066,182) in view of Rangel et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0082897) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Dunshee et al. (U.S. Patent No. D471,984 S).**

Claims 14 and 15 directly or indirectly depend from claim 13, which has been amended to depend from allowed claim 11. Thus, claims 14 and 15 also has become in condition for allowance.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

Amendment under 37 C.F.R §1.116  
Application No. 10/541,939  
Attorney Docket No. 052740

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

**WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sadao Kinashi", written in a cursive style.

Sadao Kinashi  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 48,075  
Telephone: (202) 822-1100  
Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

SK/ar  
Attachment: Supplemental IDS